Against Monarchies

Monarchy is a crime against humanity, period. Regardless of any details, it is always a crime against humanity by its very existence, by its essential idea and assumptions, and of-course because of the way all monarchies arise and sustain themselves.

All monarchies should be abolished, whether absolute or so called constitutional or parliamentary. Yes, not all the circles of hell are created equal, some being worse than the other, but they are all hellish in the end. Yes, constitutional monarchies are better than absolute monarchies, better in the same way that eating rotten food from the garbage is better than eating cow dung, but both are not healthy options for dignified and civilized human beings.

There are at-least 7 characteristics to monarchies in general: Violence, Elitism, Racism, Fatalism, Nihilism, Superstition, Slavery.

1-Violence. All monarchies arose by violence, and are sustained by explicit or implicit violence. The reason is simple: Human beings in general do not like to be owned and dominated by other human beings. Therefore, the only way to go about it is violence. The history of all current monarchies shows that clearly. Choose anyone and see for yourself.

Absolute monarchies like Saudi Arabia-which is the worst of the worst-was and still to this very day showing the real raw extremely ugly face of monarchy especially in the violence department. But all are the same essentially, and European monarchies today all have their roots soaked in the blood of innocent and free peoples, even though they had to hide this real aspect of their institution. Usually freedom of speech, the most sacred freedom of all and the foundation for other freedoms and rights, is trampled under the foot of monarchs, even a country such as Canada still demand of every person to swear allegiance to the English monarch and a simple search for "can you criticize the Queen in Canada" will tell you that "any person who defames or insults the king, the queen, their ancestors or their descendants can be imprisoned for up to two years", now this is what they are doing in the 21st century so you can imagine what they were doing before that, and this is just for "criticizing' the inbred, ugly, cold, heir of the bloody throne of England, now what will happen to a "free" Canadian who seeks to free his country from England for good is another story we will not dwell upon here. To go to the other end of the spectrum, that is, to travel like Dante to the seventh circle of hell, i.e. Saudi Arabia, there you will find what other European monarchs probably wish they could have and what they mean when they say "the good old days". A young boy who demonstrated against the government in the Eastern province was imprisoned and then slaughtered by the Saudi regime under the pretense that he

was a “terrorist”, which is true by the way, since every person who shows his essential freedom and individuality and asserts his rights is actually the most terrorizing person for all monarchs in general and absolute ones in particular. Any system of government, and any thing in general, that negatively affects freedom of speech, let alone kills it in the public sphere, is a system that should be abolished and has no right to exist. Monarchies are the worst possible offender against freedom of speech. They wage war against it in defense not just of the egotistic sociopath who happens to be the current head of the gang, the monarch, but also as we saw in the Canadian example “their ancestors or their descendants”, this is the mild form of monarchy, and on the far end of the spectrum we see Saudi Arabia where killing someone because they said or wrote a word or permanently imprison him/her plus torture is something so casual that the majority of people there are numbed to it and just go about their lives as if the crimes of the tyrannical government are like so-called acts of God like floods and hurricanes, to be accepted as fate. Violence was and still is the mark of the monarchical beast, violence in the beginning, violence in the middle and violence in the end.

2-Elitism. By definition, a monarchy claims that some people are superior and should be superior to the others, and they inherent this quality and the people at large don’t have the right to judge in any way, shape or form the reality or the fact

of the existence of such superiority. The monarch himself is the ultimate application of that, but in most cases if not all usually some other subordinates belonging to the same gang are given title and status, like the lords and nobles or other royal family members, these act like buffers and to normalize the abnormal assumption under all monarchies. Every systemic quality will have major and minor ramifications in society. It is not surprising to see people who adapted to the fact that their system of government is based and is sustained by violence as accepting the principle of violence or looking down on others for no real reason (read: Canadians looking down on Americans as if Canadians are "more polite" than Americans. Yes, polite in being slaves, but nothing more that is worth mentioning. Any human being who willingly accepts even a shadow of monarchy has no real morals and deserves no respect, but if they imprison someone for insulting even the bastard ancestor who started the chain of monarchs of England, then…fill the blanks with the worst you can think of). The same goes for Elitism. The relation to reality is jeopardized by not being able-out of fear-to judge and change the heads of any system of government. This will have massive ramifications on the morale of the people, and their dignity as well. History and what we see today shows that the claim to elitism in all monarchies is at best dubious, and in the vast majority of cases you will find in the "common" people thousands if not tens of thousands who are better in every required quality than any member of

the so called “elite”. Elitism under monarchy is not just fancy titles, no, it is also economic and political power. In Saudi Arabia for example, each “prince” takes every month an amount of money more than a hundred times than the average income of a working man or woman, and keep in mind this is a very conservative estimate, and also keep in mind that there are thousands of such “royals”. In England, according to some estimates, a third of the land is owned by the “nobles” and aristocrats, and the royal family owns more land than almost one third of the people of England, 95% of the people of England do not own land. So, political power is usurped and economic power is stolen from the people, and this in a modern constitutional government, so you can imagine the situation in a dark-age style monarchy such as Saudi Arabia and other gulf states.

3-Racism. Assuming that one race is superior to another or one family or one person, they all go hand in hand. All monarchies are racist by definition. Otherwise, how can you justify that one race should rule another permanently, or one family to suddenly have all the right rulers and worthy benefactors of power and property in any society? In Saudi Arabia for example the situation is this: Arabs are seen as superior to other races, and among Arabs the people of Najd are superior, and among the people of Najd the people of a certain area there where the house of Saud originates are superior, and in the house of Saud the descendants of

Abdulaziz the founder of the current state are superior, and among the sons of Abdulaziz the children of the free wives only are superior and not those who had enslaved mothers (as I said, dark-age style), and then the sons of the favorite wife from al Sidiry family are superior as it stands now and the king is from those bunch of sons (I say “sons” because daughters have no place in this equation, again dark-age style), and the second in line is whomever the current king likes the most. The rest of the people have nothing but dust to eat if they don’t like this racist formula. Now, every monarchy will make its own ugly version of racism but it must have a version of it. Any society based on equality has no room for racist monarchists. It’s as simple as that. The hard work is not in rejecting racism and accepting equality, no, this is the easy part since it’s only humane to do that, but the hard part is to actually fight against the violence that the racist monarchs will unleash on the people once they actively seek the elimination of this aberration.

4-Fatalism. Accepting human actions as if they are divine destiny and natural events, this tendency will be spread in any people suffering from monarchy. Since they see that just criticizing the monarch’s seventh grandpa can get you into prison in the best case scenario, and seeing that political power and military power are not in their hands, and realizing that the people have no right to create their own militia or keep and bear arms to secure the freedom of their own state.

These and other reasons make most people feel as if monarchies are like the sun and the moon (remember the "sun-king") and cannot be changed until judgment day when God himself will destroy the sun and the moon. This, like other qualities, will reflect on people's mind and morals, like being pessimistic in general or in some cases being "too polite" which comes not from an enlightened view but from feeling weak and worthless (as they should feel under monarchies). If a monarchy is supported, as is usually the case, by clergymen, then it will only be natural for them to support the spread of the doctrine of fatalism but this time it will be attributed to God himself and "fate", which is a way of cutting the power of the human will to decide and change things by claiming that God himself never gave this power to humans, of-course unless you insult the old rotten bitch calling herself Queen, then you are definitely responsible for you actions and not God, but if you try to change the corrupt system then you should remember that God has made kings kings by his will and gave them dominion over the people. Yes, in more secular societies it might not always be given in this direct form, even though you will find it there as well, but if you want to see it naked you should see what most Islamic clergymen say and write in support of their governments and Arab kings and presidents/dictators/kings with all except the name. Sermons and tracts and books are still being published to this day in the Arab world containing such doctrines of submission to monarchs and usurpers of power

and privilege under the pretense of Divine fate or Divine law which is another manifestation of the same idea, namely that God wills it so you human slave should not contradict it.

5-Nihilism. Another disease common under monarchies, which is caused by the sense of weakness and lack of autonomy and freedom of speech and power of the will in the political and public spheres, is nihilism. The world is void of meaning. Nothing matters. As a side note, this does not mean that all these characteristics are only caused by monarchies or that they would evaporate into thin air if all monarchies are abolished, but it does indicate one such important reason for their existence or proliferation. If you are free and live in a free country and you suffer from nihilism, then it's your problem. But if you live under a monarchy and suffer from fatalism or nihilism, then it's most probably the system's problem if you are doing good in general. There are certain diseases that can only be eliminated by changing the system, and no amount of meditation or self-help books will heal, in-fact the more meditation and thinking about things will only cause the ugliness of life under monarchy more clear and more severe. Now, to feel that you have an individuality not respected and no power or dignity, which is the common feeling under monarchies by almost all sentient beings, will cause a dark cloud to encircle your mind, it will make you want death and the end of life in general, which is why all persecuted people have generally an "end of the world"

theory of one form or another even if it is a secular one like communism. It is logical to have such a feeling, in fact it's very pragmatic, since to really change such a despotic system some people will have-like those who signed the American Declaration of Independence to pledge their lives, fortunes and honor in support of the cause of freedom from monarchy. (Note: some people like to say "well, Americans got help from the French monarchy to fight the British one", yes, you can get the help of a foreign beast to kill another beast, but that does not mean you will let the beast that supported you to feast on your flesh). It seems that Providence linked subjugation with nihilism so the people will not feel like life is worth losing in the cause of freedom. Whatever the metaphysical reason might be, we know for sure that there is such a link at least in the minds of a minority of free persons who will not accept life under such humiliating terms. If you're not free, life will be meaningless. If you're free, you can pursue your own happiness, and no one will guarantee you reaching it, but misery is guaranteed under absolute monarchs and to a lesser insignificant degree under other types as well.

6-Superstition. It is rare to find monarchy without some type of religious bigotry. The monarch was and still is to one degree or another seen as a mini-god, defender of god, representative of god, god-man, protector of the church or religion of god, and so on. When monarchy loses god it loses

its vital power of enslaving people and they have to rely on either national pride which is elusive and easy to destroy if the facts contradicts it, or on brute fore and terrorizing the people not to speak or act against the monarchy even by stupid laws such as banning under the pain of imprisonment uttering an insult to some inbred criminal good-for-nothing ugly man of or women. This means that monarchy is also one of the main reasons, not the only one but one of the main reasons of continuing the spread of superstitions, the dangerous kind of superstitions, a kind that will take away and convince or trick the people into surrendering their freedoms and rights and equal status in their society. The age of reason and the age of monarchies are mutually exclusive, one of them will have to cancel the other out of existence, and since reason for all is better than monarchy/barbaric egotism for a few we should go with reason. Look around today and you will find the remaining monarchies are most probably in some backward country (like gulf states) or where the masses are filled with superstitions or religious institutions still hold a grip on the people's minds, e.g. the Vatican, an absolute monarch; nothing surprising there since they think that their leader is literally the only representative of god on earth, the ultimate manifestation of the ideal of monarchy in it's "spiritual form"; whereas the Saudi monarchy is the ultimate manifestation of both the ideal and practical faces of monarchy since they claim to be both god's representatives through his religion and authority given to

them by destiny plus the holy book, but this is not enough since Arab usually are not fooled by words alone, so the Saudi monarchs came also with the sword and violence and they take pride in the fact that they gained their kingdom-for now at least- by using the sword of their father Abdulaziz, yes, Arabs cannot be ruled by the word alone but need the sword as well to be subjugated. European monarchies are surprising to me, especially in countries like Holland and Denmark for example, places where people are relatively smart and decent as it seems, so how can they still accept this kind of barbaric-age system eludes me. The worst example of all in the “first world” is Canada, where a lot of people there think that the monarchy is just a “symbol”, well, it is not enough to tolerate such a system but you have to be profoundly stupid to claim that the British monarchy is just a “symbol” when uttering a word against them might make the government take away your liberty, your liberty as a Canadian is cheaper in the eyes of your government than the feeling of some member of the cold and ugly British “royal” family, no, not even that, since that member does not have to actually file suit against you to be punished as it seems; they say it is just a “symbol”, I ask: Symbol of what you fucking idiot?! Monarchy is a symbol for sure, a symbol of your slavery, of your weakness and inhumane existence, yes, that’s for sure, but nothing more. Plus, again a simple search, you don’t have to be a political genius to know that “About 89% of Canada's land area (8,886,356 km2 or 3,431,041 sq mi) is

Crown land: 41% is federal crown land and 48% is provincial crown land. The remaining 11% is privately owned" or this "The land of Canada is solely owned by Queen Elizabeth II who is also the head of state. Only 9.7% of the total land is privately owned while the rest is Crown Land. The land is administered on behalf of the Crown by various agencies or departments of the government of Canada." Just a symbol you say? Well I think you are really a "symbol" person to say such a thing. This in-itself is another kind of superstitious thinking, or non-thinking, that will be found in monarchies in general, it is not just believing in supernatural authority given to some head of a gang of fancy bandits, but is also a simple ignorance of the realities of the political and economic order. One third of Canadians rent their homes, but one old lady in a small island far away own almost 90%, the remaining Canadians sharing only 9.7% of the land, and I personally heard Canadians multiple times claim that the monarchy is "just a symbol" and I would bet a majority of them will not know these simple facts about their real situation on relation to the British monarchy which is forced upon them. I personally think that Canadians are afraid of Americans attacking them and that is why they don't want to leave their old English daddy/master. America did invade Canada twice in its history so who knows. My personal opinion and suggestion is that any country that accepts monarchy has no right to exist and can be invaded with impunity, since accepting one violent system is just as accepting another,

and America would be doing the vast majority of Canadians a huge service by freeing them for the British crown, a job should have been done by Canadians a long time ago but maybe they need a push and some fire of freedom in their cold hearts..it's pretty cold over there as you know.

7-Slavery. We can end with the seventh hellish character of the seventh circle of hell/monarchy, the shit de la shit of monarchy, it's poisonous juice and essence, slavery. Under a monarchy, the ruler is the monarch, the ruled is the slave. They can call him anything they want to conceal or sugarcoat it it by language, but the reality is that in a relationship between humans if one is a king the other is a slave, period. It's like if one is a father the other is a son, or if one is an employer the other is an employee. Monarchy is a cause, slavery is the effect. Human nature is free, the most important virtue of the soul is being free and acting like a free person. Therefore, monarchy is a crime against human nature, human virtue and thus it should be fought by all people by any means necessary. Gloves are off when fighting monarchies, especially since monarchies do not fight with gloves from the start. No manners, no diplomacy, no arguing, not talking, no laughing, no compromise, nothing except what one American said before "give me freedom or give me death", and since freedom is not given but you are free and should protect your inherent freedom we should say "do not approach my freedom or else !" And load your gun! This, as the founders of

America knew all too well, is the only argument monarchs are capable of understanding. If your countries land is owned and transmitted through inheritance by anyone who did not own it lawfully like any other citizen; if your public officials are not elected and gain all their actual and moral power through your own people and them alone without any influence whatsoever from anyone else; if you pay taxes even as much as a Penney to any one except your own public servants and what they do under your delegation as a people; if any law needs as much as a signature from any person other than your own elected representatives; if anyone even dares to call himself king, queen, or any other variation, except as a joke or cultural phenomenon without any trace of violence or political power connected to it; if any of those things are realities in your society, then your are slaves. Call yourselves "kind", "civilized", "symbolic", "religious", "traditional", or any other adjective you like to hide behind your shameful existence, but you are really only slaves and your country is your plantation, no matter how pretty or sweetened your conditioned is in some areas, but the overall fact is the same: your are slaves. Therefore, stop hiding behind these names, stop closing your eyes, and wake up and start your own revolution to free yourselves even from the last shadow of a shadow of monarchy. Then you can be as kind and symbolic as you want. Before that you're an enslaved people, and if you don't want to do something about it, then at-least have the dignity not to lie about it. Look to the Arabs for example

today, they are the most enslaved people on earth from all sides, and their monarchies like the Saudi one is the worst of the worst because it combines all the ills of monarchy old and new, it is based totally on violence and surveillance, no freedoms of speech or religion, their head has all political and moral and also practically religious powers in his hands, the majority of the people live under poverty or sub-modern standard living or not in-proportion to the actual wealth of the land, lands and money are distributed mainly to the ruling class, no one has actual rights and whatever they have is a "grant" of mercy given from the rulers and not as a matter of natural and human right, killing and torturing of dissidents in any public matter is commonplace and glossed over, and of-course they rule in the name of god as well (who is the devil). Yes, not all monarchies are as brazen and blunt as the Saudi one, to give it to them Saudi royals are generally good-for-nothing brats but they can build and sustain a perfect monarchy, at-least in this regard they can be looked upon with envy from European monarchs who probably wish they can butcher dissidents without the people saying anything-by force or by conviction-anything by "Amen". Nonetheless, all monarchies should be abolished and all free people of the earth should unite in this cause and purify the hearts of men and the land in general from this bloody system once and for all… and wouldn't that be a glorious day for humanity!

Rise people for the cause of liberty,

Rescue her and restore her crown,
Unite all under her sacred flag,
Religious, secular, white or brown,

Lift your heads, break your chains,
You're all born free kings,
Ruling yourselves by yourselves,
Neither owned by persons nor things,

Wake up and look into your heart,
It's bleeding with oceans, can't you see,
A day of freedom is greater than,
A thousand years bending the knee,

Rise and call every free man,
Women and child and elderly,
The cause of liberty concerns all,
Devote yourself totally and eagerly,

Bring with force every king and prince,
Come all and gather for a feast,
Look and eat and laugh out-loud,
While the last king and prince is finally hanged
With the corrupt intestines of the last priest.

O what a day of glory for all,
To be free at last from every king,

Pretending to own any man,
And playing with him as if he’s a ring,

Cut the hands that dare to overreach,
And touch our Sacred Freedom,
Destroy with joy every offender,
And eliminate down to the last kingdom,

Then we can sing and clearly see,
Breathe fresh air and swim in the sea,
Love and learn and speak your mind,
Since brothers and sisters We are finally Free.

…….—…….